C.F. 71 (a)—6,006—26-1-43.

1943.

97

Department of

OFFICE Board of Revenue

STATION Madras

B.O.Mis No. 986

Dated 13.8.43

12

L 2657/43

97

19 AUG 1943

Pages in the file.

SUBJECT.

Escheat - (South Kanara) - Mangalore Town - Casba Bazaar Village - Properties of the deceased Chennaba Shetty - Travelling expenses to the Shanbogue and rewards to witnesses - Sanctioned - y Communicated

O.F. 71 (a)

Back Papers.

Dis.	No.	Department / Series.	Date.	Dis.	No.	Department / Series.	Date.
		B P Mss 2076(S) ⑥ 22 72 42					

Further Papers.

Dis.	No.	Department / Series.	Date.	Dis.	No.	Department / Series.	Date.
		B P Mss 2076			798/43		

1

Government of Madras.
Abstract.

OFFICE OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE
1265
21 JAN 1943
S
MADRAS

ESCHEAT - South Kanara District - Mangalore Town - Casba Bazaar village - Deceased Chennabasappa Shetty - Personal and real properties - Report from the Board of Revenue regarding suit and appeals - Recorded. G.O. Comm'd

-v-v-v-v-v-v-v-v-v-v-v-v-v-v-v-v-v-v-v-v-v-v-v-v-v-v-v-v-v-v-v-v-v-v-v-v-v-v-v-v-v-v-v-

Home Department.

G.O.No.Ms.5117 Dated the 18th January 1943.

Read the following:-

(5) G.O.No.4090, Home, dated the 3rd November 1942.
(1) From the Board of Revenue, No.B.P.Misc.No.2076-S., dated the 22nd December 1942.

****

O r d e r:-

R e c o r d e d.

2. It is presumed that the Board will report in due course the result of suit S.C.No.61/41 on the file of the Sub-Court, Mangalore and Appeal Suits Nos.110-111 of 1942 on the file of the District Court, Mangalore.

(By order of His Excellency the Governor)

C.T. Mudaliyar,
Joint Secretary to Govt.

2

To the Board of Revenue

-2-

/True Copy/
Forwarded/By order.

23 JAN 1943
a.s.

Superintendent.

Subject overleaf:.

G.O. No. Rev 5717/43 Home dt 18.1.43 4

Refce C 265/43-1 dt 27-1-43

Comms to the Coll of S. Kanara.

2/ His attention is invited to para 2 of the G.O and he is requested to report the result of the Suit and appeals as soon as they are disposed of.

23.1.43

27.1.43

To the Collr of S.K.

3

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.
HOME DEPARTMENT.
******

Memorandum No.4044(O) G-1, dated 16th March 1943.



Sub: Escheat - South Kanara District - Mangalore Town - Casba Bandar village - Real properties of diseased Chenna Basappa Shetty

--

Ref: G.O.No.117 Home dated 18-1-43. b/c. 7

--

The Board of Revenue is requested to state not later than 1-4-43 ~~shall~~ the report ~~called~~ referred to in para 2 of the G.O. cited ~~above~~ may be expected to reach the Government.

C.T. Mudaliyar,
Joint Secretary to Government

b/c 7

To the Board of Revenue.

Forwarded/By Order.

NV:

Superintendent

AS(7) 11.15 27.3 4

(G.w.o)

p1c 7 Boards' Rep L 265/43-1 dated 27.1.43 (Subject as at p1c 7)

p3e 7 (1025/43) from the govt Home Dept Home No 44 (C) G1 dated 16.3.43 } 9 + 9 to Collr only

Rep L 265/43-2 dated 27.3.43.

The Board submit that the Collr's report in the matter has not yet been received [illegible] report will be sent to the govt as soon as the Collr's report is received. The Collr is requested to expedite his report

29 MAR 1943

2/ The Collr is requested to expedite his reply to the Board's Rep cited above. The govt are pressing for reply

26-3-43

To the Secy to govt Home Dept

The Collr & S. Kanara

Sd/- [illegible]

Sd/- [illegible]

5

URGENT.

From
M.Karamatullah Esq., I.C.S.,
Collector of South Kanara.

To
The Secretary, Board of Revenue,
Land Rev.& Irrgn., Salem.

Ref.No. A-3/2464-42 dated the 1st April 1943.

S i r,

ESCHEAT - Personal and Real Properties - Mangalore Town -Kasba Bazaar Village - Deceased Channa Basappa Shetty - Interim report submitted.

Reference: Board's Ref.1.265-43-2 dated 27th March 1943.

I invite the Board's attention to my report Ref.C.No.A-3/2464-42 dated 10-12-1942. The appeal suit A.S.Nos.110 and 111 on the file of District Court, referred to therein have since been transferred to the Sub-Court and numbered as A.S.Nos.61 and 62 of 1942. These appeals have been posted to 10-4-1943 along with the suit S.C.No.61/41 and the escheat proceedings in respect of the moveables of the deceased in --- E.C.No.1/1942, for enquiry as to who the legal representative of the deceased is - whether the notice-givers or the Government. The Govt. Pleader, Mangalore, has stated that it is incumbent upon the court to decide the question as to who the proper legal representative of the deceased party is and that the question of escheat or otherwise will be, therefore, gone into by the Court in these proceedings. As the same question arises in all these four matters, common evidence will be let in all the four proceedings. I shall report to the Board in due course the decision of the court in the matter.

For Collector.

6
(7.w.s)
(Subj cct as at p 5C.7)

Memo from the Govt Home Dept No 4644(67)-G1 dt 16.3.43 Q to govt each

p 5 C.7 (L 1151/43) from the Collr of S. Kanara Rec No B.3.2464-42 dated 1-4-1943 } Q to Collr & A to govt

13 APR 1943 L.265/43-3 dated 10.4.43

Subd to the govt for information in continuation of the Board's Rec L 265/43-2 dated 27.3.43. The Collr further will file a report as soon as the report promised by the Collr is received

2/ the Board awaits the Collr's further report promised in his letter cited above."

SF. 43/6

To
The Secy to govt
Home Dept para 1 only
The Collr of S. Kanara para 2 only

R to Collr & Kanara
dt 26.6.43

Ref.A3-2464/42.

South Kanara Collector's Office,
Mangalore, 10th June 1943.

From

M. Karamatullah, Esq., I.C.S.,
Collector of South Kanara.

To

The Secretary,
Board of Revenue, Land Revenue &
Irrigation, Salem.

OFFICE OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE
14 JUN 1943
MADRAS

Escheat - Personal and Real properties - Mangalore Town - Casba Bazaar village - Deceased Channabasappa Shetty - Further report submitted.

Ref: Board's reminder, dated 2.6.1943.

I invite the Board's attention to this office Ref.A3-2464-42, dated 1.4.1943. All the connected cases referred to therein stand ---- adjourned to 25.6.1943.

2. It has been since discovered that Shivalingappa Shetty and Shiva Shetty, the two claimants of the estate, are not even the persons whom they represent to be. It has been reported that their real names are Channabasappa and Shiva, who are not even the children of the same parents,, nor brothers, and that the real claimants are one Sivalingappa and Somasekhara whose whereabouts are not known. A copy of Mangalore Government Pleader's letter No.A-199-43, dated 6.4.1943 in this connection is enclosed for Board's perusal. Enquiries have already been instituted to gather evidence on the lines suggested by the Government Pleader. Further developments in the matter will be reported to the Board in due course.

3. Meanwhile, Sri M.K.Vishwanathaya, Shanbhogue of Casba Bazaar village has submitted a bill for Rs.27.8.6, being his travelling and other allowances in respect of the journeys undertaken by him under my orders to collect evidence against the claimants of the estate. The Shanbhogue has claimed actual bus fares and a daily allowance of rupee one only per day for self and similar allowances for persons ---- brought by him before the Tahsildar and the Government Pleader for ---- giving first hand information whose expenditure was met from his own pocket. A copy of Mangalore Tahsildar's report, Ref.E3-5781-43, dated 22.5.1943 in this connection is enclosed.

4. The journeys made by the Shanbhogue can be classed as those undertaken in connection with giving instructions to legal advisers. Under Note 2 to Article 50 of S.F.Code, as amended by C.S. dated 9.9.1932, he is, therefore, eligible only for a mileage of one anna for road journey and a daily allowance of 6 as. for halts. The amount allowable to him at these rates would be far less than what he has claimed. In any case, a daily allowance of 6 as. a day would be too small to meet the expenditure under the prevailing high cost of living. Moreover, the Shanbhogue had to make confidential enquiries from village to village to find out truths in the face of the probable attempts of the opposite party to keep them as secret as possible. In these circumstances, I request Board's permission to pay the Shanbhogue the allowances claimed by him at the enhanced rates from the amount in deposit at the credit of the estate. I may also be permitted to pay him at the same rates in case he undertakes similar journeys in future.

TS

(7.w.8)
(subject as at 7c.7)

For Collector.

Rec 7-10 c.7 (l 1950/43) from the Collr of S. Kanara Rg A 3 [redacted] /42 dated 10.6.43

Rg l 265/43-4 dated 2.7.43

A with endorsement 10.6.43.

Submitted to the Govt in continuation of the Bds Rg l 265/43-3 of 6th July 43.

In the circumstances reported by the Collr in paras 3 and 4 of his letter the Board recommends that the Govt may be pleased to sanction the payment of Rs 27-8-6 from the amounts in deposit at the credit of the estate of Canara Banjar Village Hangalore Town to the Shanbhogue Lakshmana Kamath Fourteen as. Fourteen as. and other allowances and to permit the Collr to pay him at the same rates in case he undertakes similar journeys in future.

30.6.43

To
The Secty to Govt
Home Dept.

1.7.43

Letter-from the Government Pleader and Public Prosecutor, Mangalore.
To-the Collector of South Kanara, Mangalore.
Dated-Mangalore, 6.4.1943.
No.-Ref.No.A-199-43.

******

Escheat - Real properties - Mangalore Town - Kasba Bazaar village - Deceased Channa Basappa Shetty - Obtaining of certain documents.

Your Ref.A3-2464-42, dated 9.2.1943.

******

The discovery in this case that the claimants to Channa Basappa Shetty's estate are not even the persons whom they purport to be throws a new aspect on this case which has to be carefully investigated before adducing the necessary evidence before Court. It would appear that in 1929 under a sale deed executed by another Channa Basappa Shetty and his two minor children a portion of the consideration of Rs.1000/- was reserved for payment to the minors. On the death of that Basappa sometime prior to 1932 his minor sons Sivalingappa and Somasekkara left for the ghats and have not been heard of since. The present claimants whose real names are Channa Basappa and Siva have since been creating records with the help of one Kalyanpur Vittal Rao, a friend of the 1st claimant's mother to show that they are the aforesaid Sivalingappa and Somasekhara in order to lay claim to the Rs.1000/-. The death of the present Channa Basappa deceased in this case seems to have opened new avenues of ------ adventure. It is said that the present claimants are not even the ----- children of the same parents, nor brothers. On 8.4.1943 to which date the cases stand posted for inquiry I shall obtain an adjournment beyond the vacation. But efforts are likely to be made to destroy all ------- relevant records. It would appear that the School Admission Register of Vittal Board Higher Elementary School from 1930 to 1940 (one volume) would disclose the real names of these claimants. There was a petition on behalf of Siva dated 6.1.1939 (suspected to be filed only in 1942) to the Head Master to change the name of Siva to Somasekhara in the School Register. There are also two teachers in that school who taught the present two claimants and know that they are not Sivalingappa and ----- Somasekhara. Vittal Rao who is working up the case on behalf of the -- claimants is said to be a clever resourceful person. I therefore ----- suggest that confidential orders may be issued immediately to the ----- Tahsildar of Puttur to proceed to Vittal expeditiously, obtain these -- registers and the petition from the Head Master, and record the statements of the teachers on the relevant points. All inquiries by the

Tahsildar may be as confidential as possible. The Tahsildar of Mangalore had deputed Sri Viswanathayya the Shanbhogue of Mangalore Kasba Bazaar to proceed to Vittal and make inquiries. It will be useful for the Tahsildar of Puttur to have his assistance when he goes to Vittal; and if you agree this Shanbhogue may be directed to meet the Tahsildar of Puttur and accompany him to Vittal.

2. It would be helpful to trace the whereabouts of the real Sivalingappa and Somasekhara, and also the past history in detail of the present impostors.

Report-from the Tahsildar of Mangalore.
To-the Collector of South Kanara.
Dated-Mangalore, 22.5.1943.
No.-Ref.B3-5781-43.

7/5

Escheat - Real properties - Casba Bazaar village - deceased Channabasappa Shetty - Expenditure for enquiry - sanction for payment - Request.

In connection with the enquiry into the above case and for collecting evidences against the notice givers the Shanbhogue of Kasba Bazaar had to be sent to places outside this Taluk. My report Ref.B3-5781-42, dated 27.2.1943 may kindly be perused in this context. The Shanbhogue had to be sent on 14.2.1943 to go in search of one Pakeera Saheb who was reported to be in possession of some documents in support of the contention put forward on behalf of Government He returned with this Pakeera Saheb and produced him before the Government Pleader and also the connected documents. Again the Shanbhogue had to be sent on 29.3.43 to Vittal Kolnad and other places to find out the dates of birth of the claimants etc. and gather other information in this case

The third time he had to be sent to appear before the Tahsildar of Puttur as per Collector's Ref.A3-2464-42, dated 7.4.43. The ----- Shanbhogue has put in a bill claiming Rs.27.8.6 as the actual expenses which includes payments made to the witnesses for their bus fare etc. The Shanbhogue claims Re.1.0.0 per day as actual expenses for meals etc. The amount cannot be said to be excessive in view of the prevailing high rates for meals etc. Though the rate of daily allowance admissible under the rules is much below Re.1.0.0 per day, I request that sanction may be accorded for the payment of the same as I am satisfied that the amount billed for by the Shanbhogue is not more than that actually spent by him in connection with the case the applicability of the rules governing payment of allowance to Village officers may be departed from as a special case.

The report of the Shanbhogue and the bill of expenditure are enclosed.

/True Copy/

For Collector.

10/6/43

Urgent. Ref.A3-2464-42. South Kanara Collector's Office,
Mangalore, dated 9th July 1943.

From

M. Karamatullah, Esq., I.C.S.,
Collector of South Kanara.

To

The Secretary to the Board,
Land Revenue and Irrigation,
M a d r a s.

Sir,

Escheat - Personal and Real properties -Mangalore Town -Casba Bazaar village - Deceased Channabasappa Shetty -further report -sent.

****

I invite the Board's attention to paras 1 and 2 of my report Ref.A3-2464-42 dated 10-6-43. The connected cases referred to therein stand adjourned to 27-7-43.

2. The Tahsildars of Mangalore and Puttur Taluks are engaged in gathering evidence to prove the false personification of the claimants. The Tahsildar, Puttur, reports that the claimants have influenced all persons connected with the case or likely to give evidence in favour of Government. The Tahsildar, Mangalore, also fears that the witnesses who are in the knowledge of certain facts may be unwilling to come forward and give them out on account of the influence of the other party who are likely to offer to pay them adequately if they abstain from giving evidence in favour of Government. The Tahsildar, therefore, recommends the payment of certain amounts to the witnesses over and above the witness batta by way of rewards for helping Government to bring to light and defeat fictitious claims to property liable to escheat to Government. He has estimated a sum of

Rs. 75/- for such payments.

3. The estate involved in the case is very valuable. The claimants have hardly anything to lose by advancing a false claim to it and can, therefore, offer substantial remuneration to witnesses to help them win against Government. Satisfactory evidence would have to be adduced in the court to prove the case of false personification and the case being strongly contested, Government would have to take necessary measures to defeat all the subterfuges attempted by the opposite party. In these circumstances, I request that the Board may be pleased to sanction the amount estimated by the Tahsildar, Mangalore, mentioned in para 2 above and other similar incidental expenditure to be met from the income of the estate.

(7.W.S)
(Subject as at p.11C-7) For Collector.

A.9.W.3.

Rg L 265/43-5 dated 19.7.43

Subd to the govt in continuation of the Bd's Rg-L 265/43-4 of 2-7-43

2/ On the circumstances reported by the Collr the Board recommends that the govt may be pleased to sanction the payment of Rs 75/- (Rupees seventy five only) as mentioned by the Collr to the witnesses, amin and others the bailiff and other similar incidental expenditure. The case appears to fall under BS.0197-11.

To the Secy to govt Home Deptt.

Copy to the Collr of S. Kanara.

CY.
6 - AUG 1943
Joint Secy.
GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS
OFFICE OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE
D 842
6 AUG 1943

Abstract.

Escheat - South Kanara district - Mangalore Town - Casba Bazaar village - Properties of deceased Chennabasappa Shetty - Travelling expenses to the Shambogue and rewards to witnesses - Sanctioned.

v ...- v ...- v ...- v ...- v ...- v ...- v ...- v ...- v ...- v

Home Department.

G.O. Ms. No. 1835, dated 3rd August 1943.

Read the following:--

25.8.7 (5) G.O. Ms. No. 4090, Home, dated 3rd November 1942.
1-8.8.7 G.O. Ms. No. 5117, Home, dated 18th January 1943.
4h 6,8 From the Board of Revenue, No. 1-265/43-3, dated 10th April 1943 and
1,8 & 12.8.7 1-265/43-4, dated 2nd July 1943 and 1-265/43-5, dated 19th July 1943.

Order:--

Sanction is accorded to the payment, from the amount in deposit at the credit of the estate of the deceased Chennabasappa Shetty, of a sum of Rs.27-8-6 (Rupees twenty seven, annas eight and pies six only) to the Shambogue of the Casba Bazaar village, Mangalore Town, being the actual bus-fare and daily allowance at the rate of Re.1/- per day for the journeys undertaken to collect evidence for contesting claims to the estate.

bar. 31 p 7.8.7

2. The Government also authorise the Collector to pay travelling and daily allowance at the same rates to the Shambogue, whenever he undertakes journeys for the purpose in future, subject to the condition that the Thasildar should in all cases satisfy himself that the amount claimed by the Shambogue under bus-fare is not more than what is actually incurred by him and that the rates mentioned in paragraph 1 are not exceeded.

p.t.o.

3. The Government also sanction the payment, from the amount in deposit at the credit of the estate of a sum of Rs.75/- (Rupees seventy five only) as rewards to witnesses over and above the appropriate witness batta. They also authorise the Collector to incur similar incidental expenditure so far as is necessary ~~in~~ and to meet it from the income of the estate. P/P 11-12

4. The Board of Revenue is requested to report in due course the result of suit S.C. No. 61/41 and appeal suits Nos. 61 and 62 of 1942, on the file of the Sub-Court, Mangalore.

(By Order of His Excellency the Governor)

C.T. Mudaliyar,
Joint Secretary to Government.

To

The Board of Revenue.
The Accountant-General, through the Finance Department.

(True copy)
Forwarded. /By Order/

Superintendent.

A.Sh. 3/viii.

(Subject as at p 13 c.7)

7.5 c.7 from the Collr of S. Kanara Rc A.3.2464/42 dated 10.6.43
11-12 c.7 — do — do — of 9.7.43
13.14 c.7 from the Govt Home Dept G.O MS No 1835 dated 3.8.43 — A Call Rc A 265/43-C dated 986 of 13.8.43

Communicated to the Collr of S. Kanara
& the Collr is requested to report in due course the results of the suits referred to in para 4 of the G.O.

9.8.43 Draft & file G.O
To the Collr of S. Kanara

L 2657/43

Escheat. South Kanara - Mangalore Town. Pasha Bazar village. Deceased Chennabasappa Shetty. Personal and real properties proposals.

ppl ref — From the Govt, Order No Rev 5717 Home dy 18.1.43

To AS(9)

2nd para) The Coll in response to the Board's refce p2l para 5) dy 10.11.42 submitted his further report regarding the suits and appeals in which the estate of ~~the estate of~~ the deceased Chennabasappa Shetty p3 para 3) was involved. The Board submitted their report to the Govt with refce to their orders in para 2 of G.O No Rev 4090 Home dy 3.11.42. The Govt have now recorded their report.

2) The Coll in his report referred above stated that

~~that~~ there were only one suit and two appeals pending in the ~~High~~ Courts in respect of the estate, that the suit & appeals stood posted to ~~to 11.12.42~~ and 11.12.42. The Govt. Counsel now stated that the results of the suits and the ~~Suits~~ appeals should be reported to them in due course. The G.O may be communicated to the Collr and the Collr may be requested to ~~submit~~ report the result of the cases if they have been disposed of

Draft submitted as p2cf

23.1.43

27-1-43

J.S
AS(J)

(L 1157/43) From the Collr of S Kanara Rly A.3.2464/42 dated 1-4-43 p5-c7

To A.S(J)

~~please see the Collr's letter at p5 c7~~ the facilities

report pronounced by him may be awaited. A copy of the Collr's letter may also be ruled to the govt for their information with reference to their Memo at p 3 C.7.

Draft ruled at p 6 C.7

8.4.43

AS(7)

(7149/43) from the Collr of S. Kanara Reg A.3-2464/42 dt 10.6.43

Exchange Rr from the Govt Home Dept dt 4.6.43

To A.S

A reply to the Exchange Reminder from the govt is put up at p 12 C.7. After the issue of the Reply to the govt the Collr's letter at pp 7-8 C.7 will be

noted upon and ruled per orders
Yr. Superintr. has been furnished with.

21.6.43

(7149/43) From the Collr. S. Kanara Reg A.3.2464/42 of 10.6.43
To A.S (2)

M1
J.S
A.S (2)

the file deals with the properties left by gangamma
widow of Channabasappa Shetty. the history of the
case is found at pp 1-3 c.f of (5). the facts have been reported to Govt. and they have been promised a further report after the suits & appeals are decided. pby
the suits and appeals in which the estate
of the deceased is involved, are as enumerated
(1) S.C 61/41 on the file of the Sub-Court Mangalore
(2) A.S Nos 61 & 62/42
and (3) Excise proceedings in respect of the movables
of the deceased (E.C. no 1/Ker)

2/ there are two claimants to the estate
para 2 of 1 (S) of the deceased who have given a notice of
suit to the Collr claiming that they are the
real ~~heirs~~ heirs of the deceased. The Govt have appd the
p 25 y (S) BOR ^ recommendation that the ~~Govt~~ suit when may be needed
3/ the suits mentioned in para 1 above
para 1 p 7 c 7 have been posted to 25.6.43 for enquiry as
to who the legal representatives of the deceased
p 5 y (S) are. whether the notice given mentioned in
para 2 above are to be sent. the ~~Collr~~ Collr
para 2 p 7 c 7 now reports that it is found that the claimants
to the properties of the deceased are
impostors and that further enquiries have
already been instituted to gather evidence
to prove the claimants as impostors and
that a further report will be submitted to
the Board in due course
4/ Meanwhile the Collr requests sanction
para 3 & 4 pp 7-8 c 9 to pay Rs 27-8-6 to the Shanbhogue of Cavlia
& agar village being his travelling and other
~~expenses~~ allowances in connection with the journeys
undertaken by him under the Collrs orders
to collect evidence against the claimants
of the estate. In the circumstances stated
by the Collr in paras 3 and 4 of his letter
A at pp 7-8 of ~~a copy~~ the payment of Rs 27-8-6
to the Shanbhogue may be recommended
to the govt for sanction and it may
also be recommended that the Collr may

be permitted to pay the Shanbhogue at the same rate towards his T.A etc in future Collecting evidence. A copy of the Collr's letter with its enclosure may also be sent to the Govt for their information under advance intimation to B.

on continuation of the Boards' Rep at p 6c.7

A+B may be approved. RG 26/6

23.6.43 Draft. 25.6.43.

J.S. M.I. 29/6

from p 4 n.t.

2.B. An unusual case. The Collr's view of the Expenses "real claimants" is right. p 7 c.f.

Govt Secn 29 JUN 1943

To A.S(J)

A.S(J)

Draft sent at p 8 c.7.

26.6.43 Draft. 1.7.43

M.I. J.S. A.S(J)

29 JUN 1943

(7455/43) from the Collr S. Kanara Rep A.3.2464/42 dt 9.7.43 pp 11-12 c.7

To A.S(J)

The file deals with the prosecution of the properties left by Lakannahariappa Shetty. Attention is invited to the notes at pp 4-6 N.7. Govt have been addressed to pay Rs 27-8-6 to the Shanbhogue p 8 c.f. of Kasba Bangalore vide towards his travelling & DAs allowance for journeys already made & to pay amounts for further journeys

2/ The Collr reports that the suits mentioned in para 2 of the notes at pp 4-5 N.7 stand adjourned to 27.7.43 para 1 & 2

3/ The Tahsildars of Hangalore and Puttur are engaged in gathering evidence to prove the claimants as impostors. Meanwhile the Collr has the reasons stated in paras 2

and 3 of his letter at pp 11-12 C.7. I recommend the payment of some extra amounts to the ministers on the Coast, calculated at Rs 15/ over and above the halla (daily allowance) payable to them in the circumstances reported by the Collr. The payment of Rs 75 to the ministers from the income of the Estate & other similar incidental expenditure over and above the halla may be recommended to the Govt. for sanction, in continuation of the Board's pay at p 8 C.7.

RG 15/7

J.S.

M1

15.7.43 15.7.43

RG/15/7.

I will rather debit from the value from p 6 ante

of their entrance if entrance of this payment comes out in Court. There is a precedent for rewarding informers Rs 197-11 but the reward is usually from Govt. funds I believe. These people may not be regular informers but their services are much the same. We should recommend to Govt. saying that the case appears to fall under BSO 197-11. 2-16/7

Joint Secy. 16 JUL 1943

16 JUL 1943

To Secy -

RS (7) To A.S(7)

Draft ruled at p 12 C.7.

A.S(7) 17.7.43 17.7.43

H&C.7 (7842/43) from the govt Home Dept G.O.M.S No 1835 of 3.8.43

To A.S(7)

The above G.O is in reply to the Board's

Represented at pp. 8 and 12 c. 7. the Govt. have accepted the Board's recommendations. The G.O may be communicated to the Collr of N. S. Kanara and he may be asked to report to the Board in due course the results of the unit organised in para 4 of the G.O. A call with be noted for 1-12-43.

Draft noted at p. 14 c. 7

7.8.43

12/8/43